

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,076	-	05/01/2001	David L. Dooley	ZETT 2148	6972	
7812	75	590 08/03/2006	EXAMINER			
		AND BEDELL, P.ORNELL ROAD, SUITI	MOORE, IAN N			
		OR 97006	2.220	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·				2616		
				DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/847,076	DOOLEY, DAVID L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
lan N. Moore	2616		

•		7.0.0						
	lan N. Moore	2616						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	anliance with 27 CED 44 27 must b	a filad within two man	the of the data					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 7/11/06. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or ompmying						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Carl Clades and a	4					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entereu anu an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-6,8,9,13-16 and 18</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,7,10-12 and 17</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,7,70-72 and 17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.					
	1. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)						
			•					
-								

Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 1 is amended by removing "in the memory" and inserting "the cell in the memory" in line 12-13 to be similar in wording to appratus claim 11. Both claim 1 and 11 are rejected by the same referes (Schwartz in view of Epps).

The amended to claim 1 does not raise new issue since it places in better condition for appeal.

Howerver, it is noted that claim objections to claims 8,11, and 18, in the final office action page 2, paragraph 1, are still outstanding.

INM 9rm 7/24/06

> DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600